

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/010,418	SONODA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Peling A. Shaw	<b>Art Unit</b> 2144

**All Participants:**

(1) Peling A. Shaw.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) BEAN, THOMAS (reg. no.: 44528).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 July 2006

**Time:** 3:50 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Call attorney BEAN, THOMAS on the status of current application. His associate said to call back. No call back by 07/12/2006.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

~~(Examiner/SPE Signature)~~

~~(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)~~